

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/720,541

Applicant(s)

KNOPLIOCH ET AL

Examiner

Barry Choobin

Art Unit

2625

All Participants:

(1) Barry Choobin.

(2) Jay L. Chaskin.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:



Telephonic



Video Conference



Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

26-27

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: claims' formats were discussed. In particular, claims 26-27, wherein "A computer program comprising, program code means for implementing...". The examiner indicated that the claims' formats are non-statutory and subject of 101 rejections. Applicant's representative disagreed and insisted that the formats are statutory (note, the Examiner had consulted the 101 help panel on 4/18/05 before initiating the interview) .